

The following is only an abstract of one of our earlier reports. An email request for a printed or PDF copy of the complete report can be generated by clicking on the **Report Number** of this report in the table of reports on the [Research Studies and Reports](#) page. The PDF copy of the complete report was created by scanning an original, printed copy, and thus is only *partially* searchable and *is not* accessible, but is fully printable.

A printed or PDF copy of our studies and reports may also be requested by mail or phone at:

Department of Motor Vehicles  
Research and Development Branch  
2570 24th Street, MS H-126  
Sacramento, CA 95818-2606  
(916) 657-5805

For a request by mail, please include the report number and your name, address, and phone number. Also, please state whether you are requesting a printed copy, a PDF copy, or both. For a PDF copy, please include your email address.

TITLE: Driving Record and Recidivism Following the Purging of Driver Control Action Files

DATE: June 1976

AUTHOR(S): David W. Carpenter

REPORT NUMBER: 56

NTIS NUMBER: PB·267757/ AS

FUNDING SOURCE: Departmental Budget

PROTECT OBTECTIVE:

To evaluate adequacy of the department's driver action purge policies by analyzing the subsequent driving record of subjects whose files had been previously purged.

SUMMARY:

This report points out that the Department destroys legal files and action histories that are inactive. Legal files and action histories usually indicate a deviant driving record or some condition affecting a driver's ability to drive safely. The criteria (e.g., length of inactivity, type of file, etc.) for determining purgeability have varied over the years, generally becoming more liberal. Consequently, the Department destroyed files in 1976 after a much shorter interval of inactivity than it did in earlier years. The criteria for purgeability were largely intuitive and were also dictated by practical limitations on the amount of information that can be economically retained.

The study described in this report analyzed samples of files that were purged in the mid-1960's of 1959-61 data. The post-purge driving records and DMV action histories were tabulated to determine recidivism and accidents. Drivers with legal files and action histories were compared to a sample of renewal applicants to determine if driving records of the former group deviated from those of an average sample of California drivers. An analysis of subsequent driving records showed that "legal-file" drivers had somewhat higher rates of accidents and convictions than were found for the renewal applicants. The legal-file drivers also had a higher rate of subsequent departmental actions than the average for California drivers. As expected, the legal-file sample had substantially improved subsequent driving records, compared to their own prior records.

It was concluded that the subsequent driving records of the legal-file (purged) group were not deviant enough to necessitate more stringent purge criteria. However, the report points out that trends toward higher-than-average recidivism indicated that then-current purge criteria should not be relaxed.

IMPLEMENTATION STATUS OF FINDINGS AND RECOMMENDATIONS:

This report was used in the process of making changes in the Department's purge criteria.

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

None available.